

CRM-M-No.29797 of 2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No.29797 of 2018

Date of Decision:07.08.2018

Gurdeep @ Deepak @ Deepu @ SurenderPETITIONER
Vs.

State of HaryanaRESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Jitender Dhanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana

INDERJIT SINGH J.(Oral)

Petitioner has filed this 4th petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in Case FIR No.70, dated 20.02.2017, (Annexure P-1) registered at Police Station, Murthal (Sonapat), District Karnal, under Sections 379-A, 120-B, 201 and 171 of IPC.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. He contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per allegation in the FIR complainant Deepak was going in his car along with currency notes of Rs.38,09,000/- after the demonitization has been announced. Then 5-6 young persons snatched that currency notes and fled away. The present petitioner is stated to be one of them. It has been brought to my notice that all other accused have been granted bail in this case.

CRM-M-No.29797 of 2018

The present petitioner has been in custody since 27.06.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes, as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Learned counsel for State has also brought to my notice that there are three other FIRs against the present petitioner as mentioned in the earlier order. I have gone through all said FIRs which are under Sections 406 and 420 IPC and are not regarding any robbery, extortion or dacoity.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing stated above shall constitute my opinion on merits of the case.

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

07.08.2018
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes

Whether reportable? No