

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-29796 of 2018**

**Date of decision : 21.08.2018**

**Jai Bharat**

**.....Petitioner(s)**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana**

**...Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY**

Present: Mr. Gunjan Rishi, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)**

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 69 dated 14.02.2018, registered under Sections 323, 354-D, 376, 506 IPC, Police Station Sector 14, District Gurugram.

Counsel for the petitioner says that a demand of Rs. 20 lacs has been made by the complainant to make a favourable statement and it was finally settled at Rs.7 lacs and that recording has been handed over to the police, upon which a case has been registered against the complainant. Counsel contends that no allegations of rape had been levelled against the petitioner. He refers to statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. (Annexure P-4).

State counsel says that the complainant has not been summoned for the date and statement of the complainant has not been recorded. State counsel says that the complainant has refused her medical.

The trial would take time to conclude. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**21.08.2018**

sunil

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No