

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 28817 of 2017
Date of decision : March 1, 2018

Rajinder Singh Dhanoa

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Arora , Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr.DAG., Punjab.

Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. D. S. Sandhu, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

H.S.MADAAN, J (oral).

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondent No.5 is taken
on record.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI
Manoj Kumar has pointed out that in the written reply filed on behalf of
respondent Nos. 1 and 3 in para 1, it has been mentioned that complaint of
respondent No.5 has already been recommended to be consigned to the
record room.

In view of such reply, the present petition has become
infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

March 1, 2018
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No