

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2968 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 01, 2018**

Harkesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Jatin Hans, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jangra, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has brought to the notice of this Court that earlier on 8.9.2017 the State has misled the Court by stating that trial is over when even as on date two prosecution witnesses still remain to be examined and which fact could not be controverted by learned State counsel, who squarely accepts that the Court has been misled by the State on that score culminating into order of dismissal of bail application on 8.9.2017. Such an act of the State counsel certainly needs to be deprecated. Let the matter be brought to the notice of Advocate General, Haryana so that he is in a position to take remedial steps to rectify such aberration in future.

Having regard to the fact that two similar bail applications of the petitioner stood dismissed on 6.4.2017 and 8.9.2017 and in view of the allegations levelled against the accused/petitioner and the fact that victim at the time of commission of crime was only 14 years old,

though, apparently no fresh ground for consideration of bail application of the petitioner is made out. However, the period of incarceration the petitioner has undergone impels this Court to issue directions to the trial Court to expedite the trial by giving short adjournments and if so necessitated to use coercive means in accordance with law.

The present petition stands disposed off in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 01, 2018
aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No