

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101+206

CRM-M-29673-2018(O&M)

Date of Decision: August 30, 2018

Saurabh Arora

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Arun Walia, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Gursimar Singh Alagh, Advocate and
Mr. Marinal Sharma, Advocate for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

CRM-30081-2018

This application has been filed as the petitioner is allegedly aggrieved from the fact that pursuant to order dated 30.07.2018, the Investigating Officer has not accepted his bail bonds despite submission of demand draft of Rs.45,000/-.

Learned Senior counsel for the applicant-petitioner submits that after filing of application, the Investigating Officer has accepted bail bonds of the applicant-petitioner. Thus, the present application has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

CRM-M-29673-2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined investigation of the case and is co-operating therewith.

This fact has not been disputed by learned State counsel.

In view of the above, interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 30.07.2018 is hereby made absolute subject to his compliance of conditions enshrined under section 438(2) Cr. P.C. by the petitioner.

Considering the fact that the complainant has allegedly gone abroad, the Investigating Officer shall return the demand draft of Rs.45,000/- to the applicant/petitioner who shall deposit the same with the Registrar Judicial of this Court within seven days from today. At this stage, the Investigating Officer handed over the demand draft to the learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner in the Court itself.

August 30, 2018
sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No