

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29671-2018 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: AUGUST 13, 2018

MUKHTIAR SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI .

PRESENT: MR. L.S. SIDHU, ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONER.

MR. H.S. GREWAL, AAG, PUNJAB.

NONE FOR RESPONDENT NO.2.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

CRM-25039-2018

There is no representation for respondent No.2 despite service.

2. Application is allowed.
3. Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CRM-M-29671-2018

4. The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail under the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in DDR No.49 dated 18.5.2018 initially registered for the offences under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149 IPC and later on offence under Section 326 IPC added vide DDR No.18 dated 22.6.2018 in case FIR No.49 dated 18.5.2018, registered at Police Station Jhunir, District Mansa.

5. This Court vide order dated 18.7.2018 had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered

to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

6. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ganga Ram states that in pursuance to the order dated 18.7.2018, the petitioner has joined investigation. That apart observations made by this court in the order dated 18.7.2018 have gone un rebutted.

7. In view of these facts and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 18.7.2018, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

8. Accordingly, the petition stands allowed.

August 13, 2018
Gulati

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : No