

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

**CRM-M-29668-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 26.07.2018**

Shakila Parveen

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. P.S.Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No. 106 dated 04.10.2017 (Annexure P-1) u/s 323, 452, 148 and 149 of IPC registered at Police Station City 1, Malerkotla, District Sangrur.

2. Learned State counsel has filed affidavit of Yogi Raj, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Malerkotla Same is taken on record. Perusal of the affidavit, it is evident that there is no MLR nor the complainant was admitted in the Hospital. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is ready to join the investigation. Even there is no specific role attributed in the FIR for the purpose of committing offence nor he is beneficiary at all.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Khalil Khan requested to direct the petitioner to join the investigation.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. In view of the role of the petitioner in crime and her custodial interrogation is not required and nothing is required to recover from her, petitioner is allowed benefit of anticipatory bail. Hence petition is allowed.

6. Petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer and to join investigation.

7. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail on her furnishing bail bond to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. She shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

26.07.2018

pooja saini

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No