

Harjinder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rahul Arora, Advocate for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved because respondents No. 6 and 7 have allegedly embezzled panchayat funds. The information against them has been collected by him under the Right to Information Act, 2015 and now he is being threatened by them. Thus, he fears for his life. A representation dated 11.06.2018 (Annexure P-3) has been made in this regard but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 5 only.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Let six copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondents No. 6 and 7.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 3 to objectively consider the representation dated 11.06.2018 (Annexure P-3) and in case it is revealed that the petitioner need some protection, action be taken in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

July 17, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]**JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**