

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-2966 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:25.10.2018

Manpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Nagar Singh, Advocate for
Mr. AS Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner-Manpreet Singh in case FIR No. 964 dated 2.11.2017 registered under Sections 420,467,468,471 and 120-B IPC at Police Station City Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been implicated in this case on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused-Sandeep Kumar. Learned counsel further contends that co-accused Sandeep Kumar and Labh Singh have already been released on regular bail by this Court in Crl. Misc. Nos. 5802 of 2018 and 4538 of 2018 vide order dated 6.4.2018. Learned counsel also contends that challan qua to petitioner has not been filed as investigation has not been completed, whereas, the challan has already been filed against co-accused and recovery has also been effected.

Learned counsel for respondent-State has opposed the

submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground of seriousness of the offence. He on instructions from SI Jagpal contends that inspite of directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has not cooperated in the investigation. Learned counsel further contends that only recovery of stamp has been effected but from where it was purchased has not been stated by the petitioner as yet.

Heard the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the contents of the FIR and other documents available on the file.

Admittedly, challan qua to petitioner has not been filed as investigation has not been completed, whereas, the challan has already been filed against co-accused. It is also not disputed that the petitioner has been implicated in this case only on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused-Sandeep Kumar, who has already been released on regular bail.

Since in compliance of order dated 6.4.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and co-accused against whom similar allegations are there have already been released on regular bail by this Court, interim order dated 6.4.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner is still required for investigation till the conclusion of the same, he shall join and appear before the investigating officer as and when required.

October 25, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No