

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc.M-29636-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 24, 2018

Sukhdev Singh alias Sukha

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Chander Pal Tiwana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.47 dated 03.08.2017, under Sections 328, 34, 342, 376-D, 506 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Women, Kaithal, Distt. Kaithal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 31.08.2017. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that the statements as given by the prosecutrix are self-contradictory while submitting that co-accused have already been allowed the concession of regular bail and that conclusion of trial will take sufficient time, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, oppose the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, while submitting that offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature ,however, she does not dispute the fact that the prosecutrix has been examined.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 31.08.2017 and that the prosecutrix has been examined, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

July 24, 2018
seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No