

Manjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. M.D. Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent No. 2 to consider the representation dated 15.12.2017 (Annexure P-4) while investigating FIR No. 36 dated 13.02.2017 registered under Sections 420, 120-B IPC at Police Station Lopoke, Tehsil Ajnala.

According to the FIR, the petitioner has taken money from the complainant for getting him a job in the railway department.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is an old lady of 62 years of age and is employed as a Sweeper. Her employer is also named Manjit Kaur wife of Vikram Singh. Due to this confusion, the petitioner is being falsely involved in the FIR. A representation dated 15.12.2017 (Annexure P-4) has been made in this regard but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity

to seek reply.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to keep the representation dated 15.12.2017 (Annexure P-4) in view while conducting the investigation of the aforementioned FIR and challan be filed only after taking into consideration the plea of the petitioner.

July 18, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No