

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2960-2018(O&M)

Date of Decision:23.03.2018

Mukesh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, D.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that as per the investigation carried out so far, the petitioner is a mechanic and the vehicle, i.e. a Toyota Fortuner car, was a second hand vehicle bought by him.

The learned counsel for the petitioner points to the affidavit executed by one Jai Pal on 07.09.2017, i.e. one day prior to the occurrence, stating therein that he had taken the petitioners' 'Fortuner Car' on rent on a sum of Rs.50,000/-, from 08.09.2017 to 19.09.2017, which would be returned to him thereafter, and that the responsibility of any accident or any claim regarding the car would be that of the executant of the affidavit, i.e. Jai Pal.

In view of the aforesaid circumstances, with the petitioner also having been in custody for the past more than 6 months, with the trial still at its nascent stage, without making any comment on the actual involvement or non-involvement of the petitioner in the offences shown to be committed in the FIR, the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Disposed of.

March 23, 2018

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO