

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 29597 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION :- September 21, 2018

Surender Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Dhankar, Advocate for respondent no. 2.

Petitioners – Surender Singh and others have brought the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 158 dated 1.5.2017 for offences under Sections 354(A)(1), 34 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Barwala, District Hisar against them alongwith consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, stated to have been effected between them and complainant Kiran Bala-arrayed as respondent No.2.

When the petition came up for hearing on 18.7.2018, notice of motion was ordered to be issued. The respondent No. 1 - State of Haryana through State counsel, whereas respondent No.2 through Mr. Rajesh Dhankar, Advocate, had put in appearance. Then in light of the contention

that parties have since effected compromise, they were directed to put in appearance before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise and the trial Court was directed to send a report to this Court.

Report has been received from Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hisar, in terms of which complainant Kiran Bala and accused, namely, Surender Singh, Amit and Sumit had appeared there and their statements were recorded, in terms of which they have admitted to have entered into a voluntary compromise, with free will, without any pressure, coercion or undue influence. Further complainant has stated that she has no objection if the FIR in question is quashed by this Court. There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise so arrived at between the parties. It has been reported that no accused has been declared proclaimed offender in the FIR in question. Alongwith the report copies of statements of the complainant and all the accused have been annexed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for respondent no. 2 and learned State counsel besides going through the record.

The dispute between the parties has been resolved amicably, which appears to have been arrived at between them voluntarily without any threat or coercion and in terms of ratio of the authority reported as **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others** 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, where in para 28, it has been held as under :-

“The compromise, in a modern society, is the sine

qua non of harmony and orderly behaviour. It is the soul of justice and if the power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is used to enhance such a compromise which, in turn, enhances the social amity and reduces friction, then it truly is “*finest hour of justice*”.”

It has been observed that High Court has power to quash prosecution in order to achieve ends of justice and to prevent abuse of process of law. Though such powers are unlimited but those are to be exercised sparingly and with utmost care and caution. Though there is no statutory bar which can effect the inherent power of High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

The compromise is in interest of peace and tranquility in the society and for such like reasons this Court can quash the FIR and ancillary proceedings exercising power under Section 482 Cr.P.C, it appears to be a fit case to exercise such powers.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the abovesaid FIR alongwith ancillary proceedings are hereby quashed.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

September 21, 2018
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No