

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29575-2018
Decided on: 14.09.2018**

Amandeep Singh @ Lucky

.... Petitioner

Versus

UT of Chandigarh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Sukhpal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, APP U.T. Chandigarh.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

Mr. H.S. Saggu, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondent No.2 by filing vakalatnama, which be taken on record.

Petitioner – Amandeep Singh @ Lucky has brought the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 177 dated 02.06.2018, for offences under Sections 341 and 354-D of IPC, registered at Police Station East Sector-26, Chandigarh, against him, alongwith consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, stated to have been effected between him and complainant Varsha Vashisht - arrayed as respondent No.2.

When the petition came up for hearing on 17.07.2018, notice of motion was ordered to be issued. Then in light of the contention that parties have since effected compromise, they were directed to put in appearance before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise and the trial Court was directed to send a report to this Court.

Report has been received from Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh, through District and Sessions Judge, Chandigarh, in terms of which complainant Varsha Vashisht and accused, namely, Amandeep Singh @ Lucky had appeared there and their statements were recorded, in terms of which they have admitted to have entered into a voluntary compromise, with free will, without any pressure, coercion or undue influence. Further complainant has stated that she has no objection if the FIR in question is quashed by this Court. There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise so arrived at between the parties. It has been reported that no accused has been declared proclaimed offender in the FIR in question. Alongwith the report, statement of the complainant and the accused, in original, have been annexed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel, besides going through the record.

The dispute between the parties has been resolved amicably, which appears to have been arrived at between them voluntarily without any threat or coercion and in terms of ratio of the authority reported as **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others** 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, where in para 28, it has been held as under :-

“The compromise, in a modern society, is the sine qua non of harmony and orderly behaviour. It is the soul of justice and if the power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is used to enhance such a compromise which, in turn, enhances the social amity and reduces friction, then it truly is “*finest hour of justice*”.”

It has been observed that High Court has power to quash prosecution in order to achieve ends of justice and to prevent abuse of

process of law. Though such powers are unlimited but those are to be exercised sparingly and with utmost care and caution. Though there is no statutory bar which can effect the inherent power of High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

It is stated that challan has been filed in the Court.

The compromise is in interest of peace and tranquility in the society and for such like reasons this Court can quash the FIR and ancillary proceedings exercising power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., it appears to be a fit case to exercise such powers.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the abovesaid FIR alongwith ancillary proceedings is hereby quashed.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

14.09.2018

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No