

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28410-2017

Date of Decision: February 23, 2018

Rupali Khatun @ Ruby

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Tyagi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined investigation pursuant to interim protection granted by this Court.

2. Learned State counsel does not dispute this fact but he submits that the petitioner is not co-operating with the investigation. Gold and sliver jewellery items given to her by the main accused have not yet been recovered and she is not providing any information regarding the same.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated as it is evident from the fact that her name emerged in the investigation on account of second disclosure statement made by the main accused.

4. Without commenting on the merits of the arguments of the learned counsel, I deem it just and appropriate to confirm the interim order dated

24.10.2017, as the petitioner has complied with the conditions imposed thereunder.

5. Accordingly, petition is allowed and order dated 04.08.2017 whereby interim bail was granted to the petitioner, is made absolute.

February 23, 2018
sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No