

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 29274 of 2018
Date of Decision: 31.08.2018

Rajbala Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh Batalvi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ram Tilak Redhu, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate,
for complainant/respondent No.2.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. Order dated 17.07.2018 was passed on the basis of alleged compromise statedly executed between the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the terms and conditions of settlement have been acted upon and CRM-M No.27832 of 2018 has been filed for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise in which notice of motion has also been issued.
3. In pursuance of aforesaid order, Mr. Ram Tilak Redhu, D.A.G., Haryana and Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate appeared on behalf of respondent No.1 and

complainant/respondent No.2 respectively.

4. Learned State counsel duly assisted by learned counsel for complainant/respondent No.2 stated that the parties have not compromised at all, rather the alleged compromise is the result of further fraud committed by the petitioner with them.

5. In view of denial on the part of the complainant/respondent No.2, no indulgence can be granted to the petitioner.

6. This petition is accordingly, dismissed.

31.08.2018

Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No