

230.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

I. CRM-M-28304-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision:12.07.2018.

PARAMJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER ... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS Respondent

II. CRM-M-49554-2017

DALJIT SINGH ... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Shaurya Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-49554-2017.

Mr. Yagyaang Ajay, Advocate,
for respondent No.10 in CRM-M-49554-2017.

Mr. Kawaljyot Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-28304-2017 and
for respondent No.4 in CRM-M-49554-2017.

Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.5 to 9 in CRM-M-28304-2017.

Mr. Kulwant Singh Dhanora, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.10 to 12 in CRM-M-28304-2017.

Mr. Surinder Pal Singh Tinna, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Power of attorney (s) filed on behalf of respondent No.4 and on
behalf of respondent No.10 in CRM-49554-2017 in court today, are taken on

Prayer in petition i.e. CRM-M-28304-2017 filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to provide them adequate security so that the police personnel i.e. respondent Nos.4 to 9 and accompanying police officials do not interfere in their life and liberty.

In the connected petition i.e. CRM-M-49554-2017, petitioner-Daljit Singh has also sought similar relief.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Charanjit Singh, states that the matter is under investigation.

There being allegations and counter allegations against each other, for which, number of FIRs have been registered and the police is already looking into the matter, this Court does not find any reason to interfere.

Dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

12.07.2018
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No