

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc.M-29194-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 19, 2018

Aman @ Kalu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Susheel Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.360 dated 28.04.2018, under Sections 363, 366-A of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Model Town, Panipat, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 03.05.2018. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case . It is also contended that the father of prosecutrix have submitted an affidavit to the Investigating Officer that her daughter was not abducted by the petitioner herein and conclusion of trial will take sufficient time, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from ASI Surinder Singh opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, while submitting that offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature, however, she does not dispute the fact that the father of prosecutrix have submitted an affidavit to the Investigating Officer that her daughter was not abducted by the petitioner herein .

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 03.05.2018 and that challan is yet to be filed, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

July 19, 2018
seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No