

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-2915 of 2018

Date of Decision: January 23, 2018

Jyoti Sharma and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Ms. Sunita Nambiar, Advocate
for the petitioners

-.-

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking protection of life and liberty as the petitioners fear that respondents No. 4 to 8 (father, mother and relatives of petitioner No. 1) will cause them bodily harm as their marriage has been performed against their wishes.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pardeep Sharma, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No. 4 and filed his Vakalatnama.

Petitioners No. 1 and 2 are major and the same is proved by their Aadhar Cards (Annexure P-1).

Without commenting upon the validity of the marriage between petitioners No. 1 and 2, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No. 2 to ensure that life and liberty of the petitioners is protected by providing them adequate

protection after carrying out a threat assessment and as and when deemed appropriate. The representation (Annexure P-5) already made as well as the facts mentioned in this petition shall be kept in mind by him.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

January 23, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No