

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29071-2018
Date of decision: 18.07.2018

Shakil @ Bhim

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. G.C.Shahpuri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.PC for grant of bail pending trial in case FIR No.96 dated 12.03.2018 registered under Sections 379-A and 201 IPC at Police Station NIT, Faridabad District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the alleged snatched mobile phone has not been recovered from the petitioner and there is no other case of any kind against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 13.03.2018. He further submits that the petitioner has been interrogated by the police and is not required in custody any more.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sohan Lal, submits that the mobile phone in question has been recovered from the auto in which the petitioner was also travelling. However, the owner of the auto is not the petitioner but the co-accused Nasim. It is also not disputed that there is no other case pending against the petitioner.

In view of the above but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. It is ordered that the petitioner

shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Faridabad.

18.07.2018
sonia

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No