

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 28073 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION :- January 16, 2018

Pradeep @ Chunu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Manoj Tanwar, Advocate for the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be permitted to withdraw the petition. Though he submits that a direction be issued to the trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously.

Permission is granted. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The trial Court is directed to conclude the trial by giving short adjournments preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of copy of this order in the Court. The prosecution is directed to ensure the presence of the witnesses summoned for a particular date and should avoid seeking adjournments without any justifiable reason.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

January 16, 2018

p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No