

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-28887 of 2018 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION:22.10.2018

Lakhwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Raman Mohinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Arora, Advocate for
Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order dated 16.7.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the son of the petitioner has gone to Canada during pendency of the proceedings and the petitioner has not deposited his passport with the Investigating Officer of the case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that son of the petitioner is already settled in Canada.

In case the petitioner is having any passport, he is directed to deposit the same with the Investigating Officer of the case.

In view of the above, interim order dated 16.7.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

In case the petitioner will not deposit his passport with the Investigating Officer, the State is at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail.

October 22, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No