

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

**CRM-M-28747-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 27.07.2018**

Dal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

CRM-25310-2018

This is an application for preponment of the date fixed. Heard. In view of the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed.

Main matter is taken up today on board.

CRM-25311-2018

This is an application for making the complainant (Sushma Rani) as party in the bail petition as respondent No.2.

Notice of CRM.

Mr.Tushant Deep Garg, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2.

CRM-25312-2018

This is an application for placing on record correct translation of Annexure P2. Heard. Allowed, as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Correct translation of Annexure P-2 is taken on record.

Main case

Notice of motion.

2. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana.

3. In the present petition, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No. 92 dated 13.06.2018 (Annexure P-1) u/s 406, 420 IPC registered at Police Station Sadhaura District Yamuna Nagar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the matter has been compromised between the parties in terms of affidavit of the complainant dated 20.06.2018 (Annexure P-2) and the petitioner has also filed a petition i.e. CRM-M-28824 of 2018 on 12.07.2018 for quashing of the aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2-complainant accepts the factum of compromise between the parties and has stated that the complainant has no objection in case the present petition seeking anticipatory bail is allowed.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

7. In view of the fact that the matter has been compromised between the parties in terms of affidavit of the complainant dated 20.06.2018 (Annexure P-2) and a petition i.e. CRM-M-28824 of 2018 on 12.07.2018 for quashing of the aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise has also been filed by the petitioner, this Court finds that the petitioner deserves to be granted the benefit of anticipatory bail. Petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is

granted anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, he shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

27.07.2018

pooja saini

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)

JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>