

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28743-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-06.10.2018.

Surinder Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Ms. Rajni Maurya, Advocate for
Mr. Rajiv K. Trikha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail under the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No.126 dated 22.06.2018 under Sections 420/465/467/471/120-B IPC and Section 409 IPC (permitted to add vide order dated 21.09.2018), registered at Police Station Garhshankar, District Hoshiarpur.

2.) There is a written request for an adjournment on behalf of counsel for the petitioner. This Court vide order dated 12.07.2018 had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of arrest by the Investigating Officer, petitioner was ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

3.) Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Mohinder Pal, submitted that in pursuance to the order dated 12.07.2018, petitioner

appeared before the Investigating Officer and joined the investigation. It is further submitted that petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation in the present case.

4.) In view of these facts and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 12.07.2018, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. Petitioner shall remain bound by the conditions as envisaged in Section 438 (2) of Cr.P.C.

5.) The petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and she shall not influence the witnesses or otherwise interfere with the fair trial.

6.) Petition stands allowed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

October 06, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.