

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.2874 of 2018
Date of Decision: 25.01.2018

Jaspal Kaur

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

CRM-M No.1513 of 2018 was allowed to be dismissed as withdrawn with a liberty to file fresh petition with better particulars vide order dated 16.01.2018.

Petitioner has preferred this petition for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 for taking lawful action against respondents No.4 to 21 in the context of their alleged complicity.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in respect of cognizable offence according to the petitioner, a complaint in terms of Section 154 Cr.P.C. was filed before respondent No.3 on 15.12.2017.

Though the representation was also filed before

respondent No.2 just within three days of filing complaint before respondent No.3 but till date no action has been taken by the police.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

Without meaning anything on the merits of the case, respondents No.2 and 3 are directed to decide the complaint as well as the representation in accordance with law without being influenced by any observation made hereinabove.

In case, any cognizable offence is found to have been committed by the private respondents, the police would be at liberty to act in accordance with law.

25.01.2018

jyoti

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No