

CRM-M-28736-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28736-2018

Date of Decision: 04.09.2018

Ansul

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S.Budhwar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.136 dated 22.04.2018, registered at Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala, under Sections 307 and 34 IPC and Section 325 IPC added subsequently.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

Perusal of record shows that FIR, in the present case, has been got registered by complainant-Harneek Singh. As per the allegations in the

CRM-M-28736-2018

FIR, the present petitioner was driving a car and he intentionally hit the same with the motorcycle of complainant. There is allegation in the FIR itself that the car was hit with the motor-cycle with an intention to kill the complainant and his friends. As per the allegations, injured received four fractures of ribs. It is further allegation that earlier also, accused had attacked upon the complainant party. Perusal of record even shows that there is a motive to cause the occurrence and vehicle has been intentionally hit.

The petitioner was driving the vehicle and, therefore, he is the main accused.

Keeping in view the above facts and in view of the fact that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

However, nothing stated above shall constitute my opinion on merits of the case.

04.09.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No