IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

-.-

RSA-836-1997 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.08.2018

SUNITI .....Appellant

V/S

DHARAM SINGH ETC. .....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present : Mr. Ramesh Malik, Advocate
for the appellant

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the appellant prays for some more time to contact Suniti-appellant.

Perusal of the records would reveal that since October 26, 2017, case has been adjourned on a number of occasions in order to enable Shri Rajesh Dhankhar, Advocate to contact the appellant and file vakalatnama on her behalf.

A relevant extract from order dated 06.07.2018 reads as follows:-

> "Mr. Ramesh Malik, Advocate prays for time to ensure contact with Suniti – appellant and thereafter to file Vakalatnama on her behalf as earlier counsel representing her has since been elevated to the Bench.
>
> Last opportunity is provided for representation on behalf of the appellant, failing which it may be of adverse consequence.
>
> Adjourned to 27.08.2018."

The Regular Second appeal cannot be decided in absence of representation on behalf of the appellant.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

27.08.2018
mohan bimbra

MOHAN LAL BIMBRA
2018.08.30 11:04
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh