

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M- 28632-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.09.2018

Gurlal Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. R.S. Khaira, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Kanwar, Advocate
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 66 dated 11.06.2018 registered under Sections 354 of Indian Penal Code and Section 6 of the POCSO Act at Police Station Jhabal District Tarn Taran (Annexure P/1) on the basis of compromise that has been arrived at between the parties.

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant -respondent No.2. Paramjit Kaur on the allegations that the accused-petitioner tried to outrage the modesty of her daughter kidnapped her Kirandeep Kaur. Now in with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have

resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate at Tarn Taran, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one. Reply has also been filed by the respondent-State in this regard.

Learned Asst. Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has

been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 66 dated 11.06.2018 registered under Sections 354 of Indian Penal Code and Section 6 of the POCSO Act at Police Station Jhabal District Tarn Taran (Annexure P/ 1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

September 28, 2018
seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No