

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28617 of 2018
Date of Decision: 12.07.2018

Anju Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: - Mr. Sutikshan Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 4 to protect life and liberty of the petitioner from respondents No.5 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the purpose would be achieved if, respondent No.3 – Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar, is directed to look into representation dated 02.07.2018 (Annexure P-1) moved by the petitioner, in a time-bound manner.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, but without commenting on merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 – Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar, to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 02.07.2018 (Annexure P-1) after hearing the petitioner by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

July 12, 2018
R.S.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No