

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M-27687 of 2014

Date of Decision:- 24.07.2018

Purshottam Dass Soni

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. M.D. Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gurminder Singh, Advocate,
for respondents No.3 and 4.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Birender Singh, submits that the petitioner has since been acquitted on 4.6.2018 and, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

The petitioner is not represented.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous, however, with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case any grievance remains.

July 24, 2018

poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No