

**217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28503-2018

Date of decision: July 17, 2018

Pawan Kumar Bansal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Mayank Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Muthreja, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.342 dated 26.08.2017 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 353, 186, 188, 435, 109 and 307 of Indian Penal Code (for short, "IPC") at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula.

Heard.

It is a case of no injury. Petitioner has arraigned solely on the basis of disclosure statement of various co-accused. The admissibility of confessional statement of the co-accused is debatable. Petitioner is in custody since 20.12.2017.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the case and keeping in view the fact that conclusion of trial will take considerably long time, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on furnishing bail bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned subject to following terms:-

- (a) The petitioner shall comply with the conditions mentioned in Section 437(3) Cr.P.C.
- (b) In the event of his absence on any date of hearing, the benefit of bail allowed to the petitioner shall stand withdrawn. The trial Court shall be competent to cancel his bail bond and surety bond and proceed to procure his presence in accordance with law. In that eventuality the petitioner shall have to apply for bail afresh.
- (c) He shall not leave the country without the previous permission of the Court.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

July 17, 2018
m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No