

CRM-M-2848-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2848-2018

Date of Decision: 16.07.2018

Sanjay Verma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Deipa Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Sanjay Verma has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.585 dated 26.09.2016, registered at Police Station City Palwal, District Palwal, under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared on behalf of respondent-State and complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-2848-2018

From the record, I find that as per the prosecution version, complainant entered into an agreement to sell with Smt. Nirmal Singh, wife of the present petitioner and paid the full consideration amount. However, sale deed was not executed and there was a bank loan on the property. Admittedly, agreement to sell was not executed with Sanjay Verma, present petitioner.

The petitioner has been in custody since 15.03.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. Moreover, the offences are triable by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹40,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

16.07.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No