

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(1) **CRM-M-No.28454 of 2018**

Gurpreet SinghPETITIONER

Vs.

State of PunjabRESPONDENT

(2) **CRM-M-No.28488 of 2018**

Gursharan SinghPETITIONER

Vs.

State of PunjabRESPONDENT

(3) **CRM-M-No.28524 of 2018**

Manjit SinghPETITIONER

Vs.

State of PunjabRESPONDENT

Date of Decision 07.08.2018

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Harchand Singh Batth, Advocate for the
petitioner in CRM-M-28454 of 2018.

Mr.Vikas Gupta,, Advocate for
petitioner in CRM-M-28488 of 2018.

Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate for
petitioner in CRM-M-28524 of 2018.

INDERJIT SINGH J.(Oral)

This order of mine shall dispose of above-said three petitions filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.58, dated 13.03.2018, registered at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran, under Sections 307, 506, 295, 427, 380, 148, 149 of IPC, and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State in all the three petitions. He contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that petitioners-Gurpreet Singh and Gursharan Singh are not named in the FIR. No injury is attributed to them.

So far as petitioner-Manjit Singh is concerned, though he is named in the FIR and stated to be armed with spear, but no injury is attributed to him.

All petitioners have been in custody since 13.03.2018. They are not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes, as they are in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioners in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, these criminal miscellaneous petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing stated above shall constitute my opinion on merits of the case.

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

07.08.2018
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No