

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-27489 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 08, 2018

Manoj Sehgal @ Tannu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. AG Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.100 dated 24.05.2017, under Section 306/34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Division 4, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 25.05.2017. It is argued that though challan has been presented, charges are yet to be framed on account of the fact that FSL report is still awaited, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant

submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties and he would have no objection in case, regular bail is granted to the petitioner.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the fact investigation is complete, as the challan has already been presented, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

January 08, 2018
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No