

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

CRM-M-28344-2018

Date of Decision: July 10, 2018

Harjinder Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Karan Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in second petition under section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No. 856, dated 15.08.2017, under sections 406/420 IPC, registered at Police Station Karnal, District Karnal.

The anticipatory bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 19.03.2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not the Director in the accused-Company and this fact was not brought to the notice of this Court in the earlier proceedings. Thus, there are changed circumstances entitling the petitioner to file second petition for grant of anticipatory bail.

The aforementioned submission does not cut any ice with me because the fact that the petitioner was not the Director, was known to him earlier as well, but he did not plead the same for the reasons best known to him.

Thus, there are no changed circumstances for filing of second petition. The petition is not maintainable and is accordingly dismissed.

July 10, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**