

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27276-2017
Date of decision: 22.02.2018**

Sangeeta and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. S. K. Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P. P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate
for respondents No. 3 and 4.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking direction to the official respondents to provide protection to the petitioners herein.

Initially, this petition was filed in the month of July, 2017 seeking aforesaid protection. On the first date of hearing i.e. 28.07.2017, protection was granted to the petitioner and her family members if so required. The matter was again taken up on 20.09.2017, on which date, counsel for the respondents No. 3 and 4 submitted that by virtue of order dated 28.07.2017, the petitioners herein had forcibly entered into the premises belonging to the mother-in-law, respondent No. 4 herein. In fact, proceedings under the Domestic Violence Act were already pending in which the question of residence of the petitioner herein, who is the daughter-in-law of respondent No. 4, would be decided. This Court clarified

that the right of the petitioners to the residence would be determined under the pending case.

A reply by way of affidavit of Pushpa Khatri, HPS, DSP (Detective), District Rohtak, on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2, has also been filed, in which it is stated that petitioners herein along with other persons snatched the keys from the Chowkidar and forcibly entered into the said house and sat in the corridor and subsequently on the complaint of the Chowkidar, FIR No. 363 dated 21.07.2017, under Sections 323, 379-A, 452 and 506 of the IPC has been registered against both the petitioners.

After having perused the paper-book and having heard the arguments of the counsel for the parties, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioners herein are trying to overreach the orders so passed by this Court which will not be countenanced.

In view of above, the petition stands dismissed.

22.02.2018

Waseem Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No