

Criminal Misc. No. M- 2813 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : January 29, 2018

Puneet Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILLPresent: Mr. M.S. Tiwana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

LISA GILL, J.

This is the petitioner's second petition seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 0243 dated 03.09.2017 registered under Sections 376, 341, 506, 120B IPC at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana (Rural). His first petition was dismissed as withdrawn on 13.10.2017.

It is submitted that the present petition has been filed as certain facts were not in the knowledge of the petitioner at the time of filing of CRM-M No. 38302 of 2017 i.e. the petitioner was not aware that the medical examination of the complainant had not been conducted and her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. was also not recorded. The present petition has, thus, been filed seeking the same relief again.

Heard, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Without deliberating on the question of the maintainability of the present subsequent petition seeking anticipatory bail, a perusal of the FIR reveals that specific allegations have been levelled against

the petitioner. The complainant, aged about 17 years, stated that she was doing domestic work. The petitioner, her neighbour misled her on the pretext of marriage and established physical relations with her. Obscene photographs of the complainant were clicked by him and he started blackmailing her. He pressurised her to maintain physical relations with him or he would post her pictures on the internet. Panchayat was called in this respect. The petitioner and his mother are alleged to have come to the complainant's home, physically abused the complainant and her sister, uttered objectionable words regarding the complainant's caste and as to how the complainant was dreaming of becoming the daughter-in-law of their family. The abovesaid FIR was, thus, lodged on 03.09.2017.

Keeping in view the specific allegations in the FIR, I do not find any ground to afford the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Present petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

January 29, 2018
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No