

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No.29756 of 2013
Date of Decision:16.07.2018

Madan Lal SharmaPetitioner
Vs.

Mahavir Parsad and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

 None for respondent No.1.

 Mr.D.K. Singal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUDHIR MITTAL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 submits that since the parties have settled the matter, present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an appropriate application and get the matter revived in case grievance remains.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

16.07.2018
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No