

CRM-M-27913-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27913-2018

Date of Decision: 13.07.2018

Jagmail Singh Dhillion

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. G.S.Kaura, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Jagmail Singh Dhillion has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.15 dated 19.01.2018, registered at Police Station Bhogpur, District Jalandhar, under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been registered on the allegation that actual name of the present petitioner is Davinder Singh, but he is residing by concealing his original

CRM-M-27913-2018

name and impersonating himself as Jagmail Singh whereas Jagmail Singh has already died.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that original name of the petitioner is Jagmail Singh.

All the offences are triable by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class. The petitioner has been in custody since 17.05.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping him in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Nothing stated above will constitute my opinion on the merits of the case.

13.07.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No