

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27902-2018
Date of decision:09.07.2018

Sneh Lata

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sunita Kumari and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Vivek Kathuria, Advocat
for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the instant petition, the petitioner has prayed for quashing of order dated 18.08.2016 passed by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurdaspur whereby the complaint for summoning the respondent as accused has been dismissed and further order dated 26.02.2018 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur whereby order dated 18.08.2016 affirmed.

2. The petitioner submitted that both the Courts have failed to appreciate the factual aspects relating to demolition of constructed building by the respondents, further relating to theft of articles.

3. Perusal of the order, it is crystal clear that the petitioner has not apprised both the Courts below relating to the issue involved in the

matter. It is evident from para Nos.5 to 7 of order dated 26.2.2018, learned Sessions Judge has examined the grievance of the petitioner. Since no materials have been produced by the petitioner, consequently no interference is called for in respect of orders dated 18.08.2016 and 26.02.2018, accordingly the petition stands dismissed.

09.07.2018
Gaurav Sorot

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|------------|
| 1. | Whether reportable? | No |
| 2. | Whether reasoned / speaking? | Yes |