

236

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.27801 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.04.2018**

GURVINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

**THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE KURUKSHETRA & ORS
.....Respondents**

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Dinesh Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.D. Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab
for respondent No.3.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court with the allegations that after passing the order dated 31.05.2016 in CRM-M No.19420 of 2016, the petitioner filed a detailed complaint dated 03.06.2016 before the Superintendent of Police, Patiala, highlighting threat perception at the hands of respondents no.4 and 5. Respondent No.4 is wife of the petitioner, who without taking lawful divorce from him, has started living with respondent No.5 as his alleged wife.

Petitioner has already filed criminal complaint in the Court for the offence under Section 494 IPC.

Learned State counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3 submitted that after receipt of complaint dated 03.06.2016, the police enquired the incident by joining the petitioner on 23.08.2016. Petitioner after due satisfaction of the effort made by the police admitted that as on that date, there was no threat perception, but for all abundant caution his complaint be brought on record and in future, if need be, action be taken as and when his wife and her alleged second husband are found involved in threatening the petitioner.

During course of proceedings, learned State counsel has produced a signed statement executed by the petitioner on 23.08.2016, wherein he has stated that there was no threat perception for the time being and the complaint be kept on record so as to ward off any future contingency on the subject in issue.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has shown the aforesaid writing to the petitioner, who has admitted his signature on the written document/statement dated 23.08.2016.

Photocopy of the writing/statement dated 23.08.2016 is taken on record.

In view of above, the present petition is disposed of with
a liberty to the petitioner to file fresh complaint (if need be).

April 16, 2018

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No