

CRM-M-27866-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27866-2018

Date of Decision: 13.07.2018

Kulwinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. S.S.Sarwara, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Kulwinder Kaur has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.540 dated 19.12.2016, registered at Police station Ambala City, District Ambala, under Sections 406, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the present petitioner alongwith her sons Aman and Amar went to the shop of Commission Agent and stated that her father, Labh Singh is having 09 acres of land and wants to give 4½ acres of land each to his two daughters. As per the FIR, the

CRM-M-27866-2018

complainant got purchased jewellery of ₹3,50,000/- to Kulwinder Kaur (present petitioner). Later on, the complainant came to know that the present petitioner was trying to execute the sale deed through some impersonator and the complainant refused to get the sale deed executed.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner was earlier declared proclaimed offender.

The petitioner is a lady and has been in custody since 02.05.2018. She is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as she is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping her in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹40,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing stated above shall constitute my opinion on merits of the case.

13.07.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No