

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27832 of 2018
Date of Decision: 26.10.2018

Rajbala and others

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Arun Kapila, Advocate
for Mr. O.S. Batalvi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, Advocate
for Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Reply filed by State is taken on record.

Learned counsel for respondent no. 2 submits that respondent no. 2 does not endorse any compromise on the basis of which petitioners have sought quashing of the FIR.

The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of the FIR No. 201 dated 04.03.2017, registered at Police Station Sector 10, Gurugram on the basis of compromise dated 02.07.2018.

As per submission of learned counsel for respondent no. 2, this petition is not maintainable, hence the same is dismissed.

October 26, 2018

jk

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No