

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27760-2018
Date of decision: 08.10.2018**

Baljit Singh Lotey @ Kuljit Singh @ Neeti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 30 dated 16.06.2018, under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914, registered at Police Station City Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur.

The operative part of the order dated 06.07.2018, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is having a workshop for the repair of vehicles and the car, from which the alleged recovery was made, does not belong to the petitioner and the same has come to the workshop for the purpose of repair. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that recovery has already been effected and the petitioner was not arrested at the spot and he has been wrongly implicated in the present FIR.

Notice of motion for 08.10.2018.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 06.07.2018, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Jaspal Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 06.07.2018, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

October 08, 2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No