

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27676-2016

DATE OF DECISION: AUGUST 20, 2018

NITIN GULIA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN.

PRESENT: MR. JASJEET SINGH VIRK, ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONER

MR. BARJESH K.SHARMA, ADVOCATE
FOR MR. AVNEET SINGH SIDHU, ADVOCATE
FOR THE COMPLAINANT.

MR. DHURUV DAYAL, SR. DAG, PUNJAB.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

The petitioner has handed over a Demand Draft in a sum of ₹2,50,000/- to the complainant. A photocopy of said Demand Demand has been placed on record.

The dispute has been settled between the parties for a total sum of ₹4,50,000/-, ₹1 lakh to be paid at the time of making statement by the complainant during proceedings for quashing of FIR and ₹1 lakh at the time of recording of her statement in the petition filed under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act on 2nd motion. The complainant alongwith her counsel states that since the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties, as such, she has no objection if the petition so filed by the petitioner is accepted.

Learned counsel for the State submits that a compromise has been effected between the parties and the petitioner has joined investigation. Therefore, he is not required by the local police for further investigation.

Accordingly, the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 11.8.2016 is made absolute, subject to his fulfilling conditions under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

August 20, 2018
Gulati

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : No