

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M – 27701 of 2018

Date of Decision:- 11.07.2018

Gurwinder Singh @ Lucky

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG. Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 95, dated 05.05.2018, registered under Sections 379-B, 34 IPC, at Police Station Division No.3, Jalandhar.

Allegation against the petitioner is that he along with other co-accused has snatched the mobile from the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 05.05.2018 and there is no other case against him. Challan has been presented and trial in this case will take some time.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kuldeep Singh, submits that the offence committed by the petitioner is of serious nature as cases of snatching are on rise. Apart from the direct statement of the complainant there is a CCTV footage which corroborate the incident.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 05.05.2018 and trial in the case will take sufficiently long time and since no other case has been pointed out against him, no useful purpose will be served to keep the petitioner in custody, I deem it appropriate to release him on regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted to regular bail, subject to furnishing of his bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

It is made clear that in case it is found that the petitioner is indulge in any other case of similar nature, prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

July 11, 2018
tripti

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No