

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 27438 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION :- July 13, 2018

Manas Khera

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Mohd. Salim, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Narinder Singh, Advocate for respondent no.2

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well learned counsel for respondent no. 2 has informed that both the spouses are residing together and now the complainant does not have any grievance against her husband.

Learned counsel for respondent no. 2 states that he does not oppose the petition for grant of pre arrest bail to the petitioner in view of settlement arrived at between the parties.

Learned State counsel on instructions from HC Hardavinder Singh states that since the matter has been resolved amicably between the petitioner and the complainant, the petitioner is not required for further investigation by the local police.

Under such circumstances, the interim bail granted to the petitioner on 10.8.2016 is made absolute, subject to his fulfilling conditions

under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed accordingly.

Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived at between them through mediation.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

July 13, 2018
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No