

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27437-2018
Decided on: 06.09.2018**

Amritpal Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. G.S. Bains, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Punia, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

Petitioners – Amritpal Singh and others have brought the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of DDR No.26 dated 25.04.2018, for offences under Sections 354, 356, 341, 323, 506 and 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Koom Kalan, District Ludhiana in FIR No. 35 dated 23.04.2018, for offences under Sections 452, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Koom Kalan, District Ludhiana, against them, alongwith consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, stated to have been effected between them and complainants Charanjit Singh and Jagpinder Kaur - arrayed as respondents No.2 and 3.

When the petition came up for hearing on 05.07.2018, notice of motion was ordered to be issued. Mr. P.S. Punia, Advocate, had put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3. Then in light of the contention that parties have since effected compromise, they were directed to put in appearance before the trial Court to get their statements recorded

with regard to compromise and the trial Court was directed to send a report to this Court.

Report has been received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana, through District and Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, in the connected petition, in terms of which complainants Charanjit Singh and Jagpinder Kaur and accused, namely, Amritpal Singh, Kulwinder Kumar Mattu @ Ravi, Ravinder Kumar @ Bindi, Manpreet Singh @ Manu, Kuldeep Singh, Satpal Singh, Pushpinder Singh, Mehtaj Singh, Harsahal Singh and Sarna @ Gursharan Singh had appeared there and their statements were recorded, in terms of which they have admitted to have entered into a voluntary compromise, with free will, without any pressure, coercion or undue influence. Further complainants have stated that they have no objection if the DDR in question is quashed by this Court. There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise so arrived at between the parties. It has been reported that no accused has been declared proclaimed offender in the FIR in question. Alongwith the report, statement of the complainants and all the accused, in original, have been annexed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned State counsel, besides going through the record.

The dispute between the parties has been resolved amicably, which appears to have been arrived at between them voluntarily without any threat or coercion and in terms of ratio of the authority reported as **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others** 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, where in para 28, it has been held as under :-

“The compromise, in a modern society, is the sine qua non of harmony and orderly behaviour. It is the soul of justice and if the power under Section 482

of the Cr.P.C. is used to enhance such a compromise which, in turn, enhances the social amity and reduces friction, then it truly is “*finest hour of justice*”.”

It has been observed that High Court has power to quash prosecution in order to achieve ends of justice and to prevent abuse of process of law. Though such powers are unlimited but those are to be exercised sparingly and with utmost care and caution. Though there is no statutory bar which can effect the inherent power of High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

It is stated that challan has not been filed so far.

The compromise is in interest of peace and tranquility in the society and for such like reasons this Court can quash the DRR and ancillary proceedings exercising power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., it appears to be a fit case to exercise such powers.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the abovesaid DDR alongwith ancillary proceedings is hereby quashed.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

06.09.2018

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No