

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

**CRM-M No. 27403 of 2018
Date of Decision : 25.09.2018**

Romy

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. J.S.Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

P.B.BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioner seek anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No.70 dated 27.03.2017 under Section 22 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station City Rama Mandi, District Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar.

2. This Court vide order dated 05.07.2018 had directed the petitioner to appear before the trial court and join proceedings and in the event of his appearance, he was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions of ASI Kuldeep Singh states that in pursuance to the order dated 05.07.2018 petitioner has joined the proceeding and moved bail application No. 3903/2018 and furnished surety pursuant to order of this court before the court of Judge, Special Court, Jalandhar on 19.07.2018.

4. In view of these factual aspect and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 05.07.2018, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

5. However, the petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall also not influence the witnesses or other wise interfere with the fair trial.

6. Accordingly, the petition stands allowed. However, trial court is at liberty to initiate proceeding under Section 446 Cr.P.C. for violation of bail bond against the petitioner and his surety.

25.09.2018
pooja saini

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No