

Sr. No.114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M- 27253 of 2018

Decided on: 04.07.2018

Jatinder Singh**Petitioners**
versus
State of Punjab and others**Respondents**

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his title has been determined qua the property in question upto the High Court. Even, an injunction has been granted by the decree in a suit against respondent No.5. However, despite that respondent No.5 is not permitting the petitioner to enjoy his property. On the contrary, respondents No.3 and 4, who are the police officials, have been paying visits to the house of the petitioner and threatening him with dire consequences, if he raises any construction on the abovesaid property

Raising his grievance, the petitioner has moved a representation dated 08.05.2018 (Annexure P-2) to respondent No.2.

Since the allegations are against police officials and the specific representation has been made by the petitioner, therefore, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation (Annexure P-2) made by the petitioner and to take appropriate action thereon in accordance with law.

04th July, 2018

renu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No

[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]

JUDGE